

May 27, 2003

Jim Speck  
PO Box 987  
Ketchum, ID 83340

Re: Your Correspondence Dated April 30, 2003 Regarding David Stoecklein

Dear Jim,

Your letter to me dated April 30, 2003 noted that there was some confusion in my last correspondence to David Stoecklein regarding diversion of water under the Reno-Unger well. Upon review of my last letter I agree that I made an incorrect statement. Specifically, my last letter stated that, "If Reno diverts 80 inches to his mainline from the Reno-Unger well then no water can be delivered to the head of the Hatchery Canal under water right 34-470A." This statement is not correct. As I have mentioned in previous correspondence to Mr. Stoecklein and as you correctly note in your letter, the maximum amount that Reno can divert from the Reno-Unger well under his two ground water rights is 43 inches. I believe I intended to state that if Reno diverts the full 43 inches from the Reno-Unger well that he is entitled to under his ground water rights, then the delivery of water under right 34-470A at the Upper Fish Hatchery Canal must be limited to 55 inches. Again, the combined diversion rate under Reno's Warm Springs water right 34-470A and the two ground water rights (34-7245B and 34-13555) is limited to 98 inches (1.96 cfs).

The Department sent correspondence to Dr. Reno dated May 14 requiring that a measuring device be installed on his mainline from the well by June 20, 2003.

I apologize for the mistake in my last letter and any confusion it caused. Thank you for the updated information concerning Mr. Stoecklein's permits.

Respectfully,

Tim Luke

Cc: Bob Duke, Watermaster  
Dr. Lynn Reno  
David Stoecklein  
Scott Campbell  
Scott Johnson